



OFFICE OF LEGAL SERVICES INNOVATION

An Office of the Utah Supreme Court

Approved LSI Committee Meeting Minutes November 1, 2022

Attendees:

John Lund, Chair
Sue Crismon, Executive Director
Dr. Rebecca Sandefur
Lucy Ricca

Staff:

Helen Lindamood, Program Manager

Excused:

Dr. Tom Clarke

1. Welcome: (John Lund)

The meeting started after the confirmed attendees had arrived. Mr. Lund welcomed everyone to the meeting. Ms. Crismon asked the committee to consider any feedback on the meeting minutes and submit it to Ms. Lindamood. Mr. Lund asked for a motion to approve the October 18, 2022 Committee Meeting minutes.

Ms. Ricca motioned to approve the minutes as drafted. Dr. Sandefur seconded the motion and it passed without objection.

2. Discussion & Action: Motion Law Sandbox Application (Sue Crismon)

Ms. Crismon presented the drafted recommendation for Motion Law, a fully nonlawyer-owned immigration ABS currently operating in Washington D.C. and Arizona, seeking authorization to open a practice in Utah, offering services through Utah lawyers and paralegals. A brief discussion ensued.

Ms. Ricca motioned to approve the minutes as drafted. Dr. Sandefur seconded the motion and it passed without objection.

3. Discussion & Action: Darrow AI Sandbox Application (Sue Crismon)

Ms. Crismon presented the drafted recommendation for Darrow AI. In their application, Darrow AI seeks authorizations for proposed software that will perform legal issue spotting, but does not clarify whether this issue spotting is communicated to consumers. The Committee discussed whether this qualifies as legal practice, and if not, if the applicant is appropriate to enter the Sandbox as an intermediary platform. Ms. Crismon will follow up with the applicant to clarify their proposal.

This action item was tabled for the December 15 meeting.

4. Discussion & Action: Innovation for Justice Sandbox Application (Sue Crismon)

In discussions with the applicant, each i4j sub-entity needs to 1) be individually presented to the Court, and 2) attest to their completion of the i4j training. Mr. Lund argued that i4j does still need the Sandbox authorization, as they are offering training and can take that package to community members and make commitments to individuals about what they can do upon completion.

Ms. Ricca reaffirmed this might not fit existing models, but i4j should still have some sort of Authorization Order and their umbrella data reporting. Before drafting a recommendation, the Office needs to confirm with the applicant what they envision that umbrella reporting consists of. The recommendation will also need to clarify that i4j is not the exclusive housing ALP Sandbox project. Ms. Crismon agreed to draft a novel order for the committee to consider.

This action item was tabled for the next meeting.

5. Discussion: LSI Committee Member Appointments (John Lund)

The Committee reviewed the list of proposed appointments compiled by the Court and discussed potential additions to the list to be discussed at the November 15 Court Conference.

6. Discussion: BYU Intern (John Lund)

Mr Lund presented on the BYU group which drafted a proposal for auditor internship for credit for the Office's review. Ms. Ricca raised some anxiety about the management burden. Mr. Lund and Ms. Crismon clarified that the Office would only take on 1-2 interns at one time and the internship would have some support from BYU. The Committee discussed how the office will structure the position and potential projects. This project effort will continue with Committee input at the Operations Committee.

7. Adjournment and Next Meeting:

The meeting adjourned at 11:03 am. The next meeting will be November 15, 2022, from 10:00 - 11:30 am MST.